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Our understanding of the biomechanics and neurology of the subluxation continues to evolve as more research is published which helps explain the nature of this lesion. Historically, the subluxation has been at the heart of the identity and purpose of the chiropractic profession. Contemporary models provide new insights into this elusive and sometimes mysterious problem which we attempt to find by various clinical means and correct by the application of high-velocity, low-amplitude thrusts. Let’s review past models, but focus primarily on the latest evidence concerning the subluxation published in the recent scientific literature in order to improve our understanding, insight, and application of clinical interventions to improve patient outcomes with chiropractic care.

Older Models of Subluxation: Static Malposition and Pathology

Over the 115-year history of chiropractic, there have been many varied attempts to explain and clarify the subluxation. In the past, descriptions of this lesion were phrased in pathological terms and often included malposition, distortion of the intervertebral foramen and impingement of nerves as primary components. Henderson, et al., 1 described early notions of subluxation as static mechanical lesions, a misalignment or a bone out of place, as seen on a neutral radiograph. The authors explain that this static model has been vigorously challenged in the scientific literature.

Lantz 2 raised concerns about the strictly static, structural interpretation of vertebral subluxation and introduced his own hierarchical model including kinesiopathology, neuropathology, myopathology, connective tissue pathology, vascular abnormalities, as well as inflammatory response, histopathology, and biochemical abnormalities. Similarly, Dishman 3 described the vertebral subluxation complex in terms of various pathologies including neuropathology, myopathy, kinesiopathology, histopathology, and biochemical abnormalities.

Newer Terminology: Functional Spinal Lesion, Joint Dysfunction With Hypomobility, Joint Complex Dysfunction
In 2001, the World Federation of Chiropractic Congress, in its consensus document, described the subluxation as a complex of functional and/or structural and/or pathological articular changes that compromise neural integrity and may influence organ system function and general health. Pickar described two mechanistic models of the subluxation: 1) compression/traction of peripheral nerve in the vicinity of the intervertebral foramen; and 2) altered sensory input leading to central facilitation and altered somato-somatic and/or somatovisceral reflexes.

Triano noted that the word subluxation has no consistent definition. In addition, he explained that the lesion is often treated as a syndrome, a clinical disorder, and suggests the term functional spinal lesion because it expresses a spine-related disorder involving function and does not imply pathomechanics, pathophysiology or symptomatology. Triano also noted that there is no method yet which can unequivocally detect and describe its presence. Its detection represents the art of the clinical practice, not its science.

In agreement, with Triano, Seaman described the subluxation as a dysfunctional joint, not necessarily a pathological one, and suggested the term joint complex dysfunction to express this. Vernon and Mrozek advocated for the term joint dysfunction with hypomobility to describe these dysfunctional joints as means to achieve some consensus about the nature of this lesion, which has been variously described in terms of "fixation," "somatic dysfunction," "blockage," "loss of joint play," and "hard end feel" by various clinical professions including DCs, MDs, DOs, and PTs.

Vernon and Mrozek described a more contemporary model of a dynamic biomechanical lesion wherein an error of movement is present that may or may not be associated with misalignment. Gatterman described subluxation as a joint with impaired mobility with or without positional alteration. From her perspective, the subluxation is seen as a functional entity involving restricted vertebral movement. After all, she argues, it is the restriction of movement which responds to thrust procedures.

**A Working Dynamic Model of Subluxation**
Recently, Panjabi\textsuperscript{9} offered a unique model of joint dysfunction with
disturbed kinematics, loss of spatial and temporal integrity of received receptor signals, and corrupted motor
programs. This model provides a dynamic explanation which offers distinctive insights into the mechanism
and progression of the lesion DCs commonly describe as a subluxation. According to Panjabi’s paradigm,
difficulties arise because trauma or cumulative microtrauma cause subfailure injury in passive restraints
including ligaments, joint capsules, and discs. He described subfailure injury as caused by the stretching of
tissue beyond its physiological limit, but less than its failure point. Panjabi documented these injuries
in simulated whiplash studies which used whole cervical sections of human cadaver spines.

Subfailure injury has been described by other researchers as a result of microruptures of collagen fibers, or microdamage of collagen tissues. With these overstretch injuries, not only are bonds in the connective
tissue matrix disrupted, but mechanoreceptors within these structures are also damaged, resulting in partial
de-afferentation. This sensory/neurological dimension of overstretching/sprain of passive ligamentous
restraints was described by neurosurgeons Freeman and Wyke. The authors documented that a traction
injury to a ligament or joint capsule resulted in ruptures of nerve fibers as well as collagen fibers. Nerve
fibers have lower tensile strength than the molecular bonds of the connective-tissue matrix. If there is
enough tensile force to damage collagen fibers, nerve fibers have been damaged as well.

Loss of Spatial and Temporal Integrity

With this partial de-afferentation, Panjabi explained, there is loss of spatial and temporal integrity of
received transducer signals from mechanoreceptors in the damaged ligament or joint capsule. The
disturbance in precise, continuous sensory input has been described by other researchers as false
kinesthetic perception, which introduces errors in the precision of movement and may result in injury. This
aberrant feedback is transmitted to the neuromuscular control unit (motor cortex, basal ganglia, cerebellum,
motor neurons), which has difficulty interpreting the corrupted transducer signals because there is a
mismatch between normally expected signals and the corrupted signals actually received.

Corrupted Muscle Response Pattern

As a result, the muscle response pattern generated is corrupted, affecting coordination and activation of each
spinal muscle. The corrupted muscle response pattern affects the choice of which spinal muscles to activate,
the force of onset, intensity, and shut-off. As a consequence, changes in the coordination, sequencing, and
recruitment of spinal muscles responsible for spinal stability, posture and motion become disrupted.
The corrupted muscle response patterns result in abnormal stresses and strains in spinal components, leading to further subfailure injury of spinal ligaments, joint capsules, mechanoreceptors within them, and disturbed kinematics. Errors in onset of muscle activation (delayed onset) and force production (reduced or excess force generation) may result in soft-tissue injury and explain acute low back pain where negligible loads are involved. 19 These changes can set up an inflammatory cascade, producing inflammation of spinal tissues abundant in nociceptors, resulting in chronic pain, recurrences, disturbed kinematics and reduced functional capacity.

**Progressive Joint Complex Dysfunction**

The process of progressive joint complex dysfunction involves many components including passive stabilizers (subfailure injury of ligaments, joint capsules, discs), somatosensory input (loss of spatial and temporal integrity, and false kinesthetic perception), and neuromotor control (corrupted motor programs, coactivation, sequencing, and recruitment). Disturbances in these systems combine to produce what may be catastrophic acute problems or, perhaps more commonly, a downward spiral of reduced functional capacity, increased risk of reinjury and chronicity.

This dynamic process of joint dysfunction offers an explanation which helps to clarify the clinical presentation of many of our patients and reinforce, with scientific evidence, the clinical observations chiropractors make in practice. The combination of clinical observations and scientific support can increase clinicians’ confidence in and quality of our patient education, as well as the benefits we have to offer our patients.
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