Cervical spine trauma in children: a review
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Injuries of the cervical spine are relatively rare in children but are a distinct clinical entity compared with those found in adults. The unique biomechanics of the pediatric cervical spine lead to a different distribution of injuries and distinct radiographic features. Children younger than 9 years of age usually have upper cervical injuries, whereas older children, whose biomechanics more closely resemble those of adults, are prone to lower cervical injuries. Pediatric cervical injuries are more frequently ligamentous in nature, and children are also more prone to spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality than adults are. Physical injuries are specific only to children. Radiographically benign findings, such as pseudosubluxation and synchondrosis, can be mistaken for traumatic injuries. External immobilization with a halo brace can be difficult and is associated with a high complication rate because of the thin calvaria in children. Surgical options have improved with the development of instrumentation specifically for children, but special considerations exist, such as the small size and growth potential of the pediatric spine.
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The treatment of cervical spine injuries in children must be founded on an understanding of spine development. Differences in injury patterns, interpretation of radiographic studies, and management of injuries are a direct result of the unique anthropometrics and biomechanics of a child. Historically, literature specifically addressing cervical spine injuries in children has been scarce; most studies have been focused on adults. In more recent years, as distinct aspects of the pediatric spine have been better appreciated, more attention has been given exclusively to injuries of the cervical spine in younger patients.

Besides incomplete knowledge regarding pediatric cervical injuries, other challenges exist in the management of these childhood traumas, such as the difficulty in obtaining a complete history from the patient and the unsuitability of some medical devices or surgical hardware for very young patients. Despite these obstacles, a logical and systematic diagnostic and treatment paradigm can be applied to the pediatric patient with a potential cervical injury. The purpose of this article is to highlight the differences between the adult and pediatric cervical spine, and to detail how these differences affect the diagnosis and treatment of traumatic injuries.

Causes of SCI

Injuries of the cervical spine19,64 and spinal cord28,48,78 in children are relatively infrequent compared with their occurrence in adults. Pediatric cases of cervical spine injury account for less than 10% of all such injuries,30 and approximately 40 to 60% of all pediatric spine injuries occur in the cervical region.40,41 Besides the ones associated with birth trauma, young children rarely incur fractures below C-2.40,80 As children become older and their biomechanics more closely approximate those of adults, however, fractures more commonly occur in the lower cervical spine.40

Motor vehicle accidents are the most common cause of pediatric cervical injuries, but obstetrical complications, falls, sports, diving accidents, firearms, and child abuse account for many injuries as well.5,19,53,60,91 As would be expected, the contribution of different mechanisms varies with the patient’s age. In neonates, the leading cause of cervical injury is obstetrical complications.91,96 Spinal cord injuries occur in one of 60,000 births,100 with the upper cervical region most frequently involved.59 Cardinal features of birth-related upper cervical SCI include apnea, flaccid quadriplegia, and injuries due to the use of forceps. Unfortunately, neonatal death is common with such injuries.13 Birth-related cervical injuries are usually associated with a breech presentation,12,15 although they can also occur with cephalic delivery.67 Cervical injuries in infants and toddlers usually result from falls, motor vehicle accidents, and nonaccidental trauma.37,38,91 Among children 3 to 10 years of age, falls, bicycle mishaps, and auto–pedestrian accidents account for most injuries,24,80 and after the age of 10 years, sports and motor vehicle accidents are the biggest culprits.40,52,53

Anatomy and Biomechanics

The unique anatomy and biomechanics of the pediatric
cervical spine help explain the different radiographic features, injury patterns, and management options found in children compared with those in adults. The principal difference is that the pediatric cervical spine is intrinsically more elastic compared with that of adults, especially in the first 8 years of life. In a study of neonatal cadavers, the vertebral column could stretch as much as 2 in without disruption, but the spinal cord could only stretch 0.25 in. This elasticity is a result of several distinct features of the pediatric cervical spine. First, the facet joints are more shallow and more elastic compared with that of adults, especially in the first 8 years of life. In a study of neonatal cadavers, the vertebral column allows ventral slippage between motion segments, although others have noted that the wedging that appears on radiographs is due to a ring apophysis that does not ossify before the age of 12 years, and that therefore this is merely a radiographic and not an anatomical finding. Finally, absent uncinate processes and weak nuchal muscles also lend more flexibility to the spine.

Another important feature in children younger than 8 years of age is the relatively large head compared with the body. The added weight shifts the fulcrum of movement to the upper cervical spine, with the greatest movement at C2–3 in infants and young children. By 5 to 6 years of age, the fulcrum shifts to C3–4, and in adolescents and young adults the level of maximal flexion is C5–6, the same as in mature adults. This disparity in the fulcrum of movement explains why the majority of cervical spine injuries occur between the occiput and C-2 in children younger than 9 years of age, whereas the distribution of cervical injuries in children older than 9 years is similar to that in adults, with fractures and fracture–dislocations predominantly occurring in the lower cervical spine.

A large head relative to the body has one other critical consequence, which is to force the cervical spine into kyphosis when a child is placed on a firm backboard. In the setting of trauma, this may exacerbate a traumatic kyphotic deformity and compromise neurological function. In a study of 40 children, all patients required torso elevation (mean elevation 25 mm) to rest the neck in a neutral position. Semirigid cervical collars are not adequate to prevent flexion, and therefore the torso needs to be raised or a recess for the occiput is required.

Clinical Presentation

The possible presenting symptoms of a child with cervical spine trauma are highly variable. This type of trauma or SCI should be suspected if unconsciousness, torticolli, cervical rigidity, muscle guarding, neck pain, radicular pain, numbness, or history of transient or fixed neurological deficits is present. The most common symptom is pain, accompanied most frequently by focal midline tenderness. A stiff neck is often present and can impair adequate flexion and extension on radiographic studies. Pain that does not resolve within the first 1 or 2 weeks despite initially normal results on radiographs should raise concern that an injury has been overlooked and should be investigated further. Weakness and sensory changes, along with pain, may be radicular or myelopathic in nature. Autonomic disturbances are less common and can include bowel and bladder dysfunction. In the setting of acute trauma, hypotension without tachycardia should raise concern for severe SCI.

Children are less likely than adults to suffer neurological injury with cervical spine trauma, although when neurological injury does ensue it often occurs with fracture–dislocations. Facet dislocations are also associated with neurological sequelae. Bilateral dislocated facets generally affect the spinal cord, whereas a unilateral facet injury generally damages a nerve root. Injuries are often incomplete and some improvement can be expected, even late in the course of recovery. Unfortunately, patients with complete SCIs normally do not recover. Delayed progression of neurological deficits can also develop if initial instability is not discovered, which is more often a risk in cases of multiple trauma.

Neuroimaging Studies

Plain Radiographs

Multiple studies have been conducted in an attempt to stratify pediatric patients into low- and high-risk groups and to try to identify which patients require static cervical spine x-ray films (AP and lateral) to evaluate for traumatic injury. Laham, et al., defined low-risk patients as those who were able to communicate verbally and had no cervical discomfort. Of the 135 children at low risk who were studied retrospectively, no cases of cervical spine injury were diagnosed using plain x-ray films.

More recently, a prospective multicenter trial was conducted to evaluate the NEXUS decision instrument for identifying pediatric patients who have suffered blunt trauma and in whom radiographs of the cervical spine should be obtained. Low-risk patients must meet all five NEXUS criteria, which are as follows: 1) absence of midline cervical tenderness; 2) no evidence of intoxication; 3) normal level of alertness; 4) normal results on neurological examination; and 5) absence of a painful or distracting injury. If a patient fulfills all five of the NEXUS criteria, plain radiographs are of marginal value. None of the 603 children designated as low-risk had evidence of cervical spine trauma on plain x-ray films. Of note, approximately 1% of patients who did not meet all of the NEXUS criteria had a cervical spine injury. Most patients for whom a trauma response is activated do not meet all of the NEXUS criteria acutely, and therefore at our institution we initially obtain anterolateral and posterior x-ray films as a component of the trauma protocol in all of these patients.

The usefulness of an odontoid view in very young children is questionable. In a retrospective review, 10 patients younger than 9 years of age who had sustained a cervical spine injury between the occiput and C-3 were identified, but in none of these cases was the diagnosis based on a transoral odontoid plain x-ray film. In another study that was based on a questionnaire, investigators suggested that children younger than 5 years of age do not require a transoral x-ray film as part of a trauma protocol. At our institution, in children 5 years of age or younger we obtain only AP and lateral plain x-ray films when the trauma protocol...
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has been activated, whereas children older than 5 years also undergo a transoral view.

Flexion and extension radiographs are still the gold standard for evaluating instability of the cervical spine. If a patient does not meet the NEXUS criteria but has normal results on AP and lateral views, then flexion and extension views are indicated to evaluate stability. Dynamic x-ray films should be obtained only if the patient is neurologically intact. Otherwise, MR imaging should be considered for further evaluation. The authors of some studies suggest that if results of AP and lateral x-ray films are normal, however, then the value of dynamic films is disputable. Dynamic views are often unsatisfactory initially because of muscle spasms, and in these cases the studies must be repeated once the spasm has resolved.

Usefulness of CT Scans

For children younger than 10 years of age, the benefit of CT scans for diagnosing cervical injuries is limited because most of these types of injuries in this age group are ligamentous, with no osseous component. Even in children older than 10 years, 20% of cervical injuries will be ligamentous and will not involve a fracture. Therefore, normal anatomical findings on a CT scan cannot be used to exclude a cervical injury in children and should not be used exclusively for cervical spine clearance. Still, CT scans are superior to radiographs for defining bone anatomy and are a useful adjunct to other imaging modalities for presurgical planning.

Usefulness of MR Imaging

An MR imaging session can provide several useful functions in the setting of pediatric cervical spine trauma. First, MR imaging can be used to clear the cervical spine of a child if initial plain x-ray films show normal results but the child is obtunded, intubated, or uncooperative. Also, if results on plain x-ray films or CT scans are equivocal, an MR image can also be used to clear the cervical spine. Second, if a child has persistent or delayed neurological symptoms with normal findings on x-ray films and a CT scan, an MR imaging study may reveal soft-tissue, ligamentous, or disc injury that would otherwise remain unrecognized. In one study of 52 pediatric patients with trauma, 31% had significant MR imaging findings, and in four of these children the results of MR imaging directly influenced the surgical management. Finally, in cases of SCI, MR imaging can provide useful prognostic information.

Pitfalls of Neuroimaging in Pediatric Patients

The radiographic appearance of the cervical spine in children differs in several ways from that of adults, and to further complicate matters, these differences change with age. As a result, pediatric cervical spine injuries can often have a delayed or inaccurate diagnosis. In a retrospective review of 37 trauma cases, a misdiagnosis was identified in 24% of cases involving children younger than 9 years of age and in 15% of cases in which the child was 9 years of age or older.  

Pseudodislocation in the upper cervical spine of children is considered a normal finding. To determine the incidence of pseudodislocation, Cattell and Filtzor evaluated 160 pediatric patients ranging from 1 to 16 years of age. They found that among children younger than 8 years, at least 3 mm of anterior displacement was present in 40% at C2–3, and in 14% of children it was present at C3–4. In pediatric patients with trauma, pseudodislocation is not associated with intubation, injury severity, or outcome, from which we infer that pseudodislocation is an incidental finding in these cases. The only variable that correlates with pseudodislocation appears to be age: this condition occurs in children up to 14 years of age. Shaw and colleagues have provided strict criteria for determining the presence of pseudodislocation. A line drawn through the posterior arches of C1 and C3 should touch, pass through, or lie within 1 mm anterior to the anterior cortex of the posterior arch of C2. If none of these conditions is met, then true dislocation should be suspected.

A second common feature of the pediatric spine is that can be misleading is the collection of synchondroses in all cervical vertebrae. The C-2 vertebra, which is especially prone to injury in these young children, has a total of three synchondroses between the dens, body, and arch, which usually close between the ages of 3 and 7 years. Of these three synchondroses, the dens–arch one is most pronounced and is therefore most frequently mistaken for a fracture. A key feature that distinguishes the dens–arch synchondrosis from a true fracture is that the synchondrosis is visible on an oblique but not on a straight lateral x-ray film. Subaxial vertebrae in young children will have synchondroses between the posterior and anterior elements, which can be mistaken for fractures.

Other radiographic features that may be misread as evidence of cervical spine injury include a lack of cervical lordosis and notable angulation at individual intervertebral spaces. Pronounced vascular channels in the ossification center can be misconstrued as fractures.

Evaluating Cervical Stability

Several methods have been developed to determine cervical spine stability. Some rely on objective measurements, whereas others are more descriptive. No individual method is definitive, but they all have value in that they provide a systematic approach to this difficult and often confusing clinical dilemma.

In a study of adult cadavers performed by White and colleagues, when all ligaments were intact the horizontal motion of one VB on the next did not exceed 3.5 mm and the angular displacement of one VB on another did not exceed 11°. These results became the basis for the well-accepted radiographic criteria of cervical instability proposed by White and Panjabi. Specifically, cervical spine instability should be considered when a static lateral x-ray film demonstrates sagittal plane displacement of greater than 3.5 mm or relative plane angulation of greater than 11° in the setting of acute trauma. In one series, however, investigators identified eight patients with occult cervical ligamentous instability and ages ranging from 18 to 23 years who did not meet the criteria of White and Panjabi for instability.

Applying the criteria of White and Panjabi to the pediatric spine is problematic because increased elasticity due to factors discussed previously allows for more recoil of the spine after injury. The increased recoil helps restore align-
ment to the cervical spine following injury, and therefore the upper limit of acceptable angulation in children needs to be lower than that in adults. Currently, angulation greater than 7° is considered a sign of ligamentous injury in the pediatric cervical spine.42,79 Furthermore, 3.5 mm of subluxation in children may be physiological, based on studies describing pseudosubluxation.50 Therefore, if a child is younger than 8 years of age, cervical instability should be considered if more than 4.5 mm of subluxation is present at C2–3 or C3–4, and greater than 3.5 mm of subluxation should not be tolerated at any level in patients older than 8 years of age.71

Another paradigm for evaluating the spine is the two-column model, in which the cervical spine is divided into anterior and posterior columns.47,79 The anterior column consists of the anterior longitudinal ligament, VB, posterior longitudinal ligament, anterior and posterior portions of the anulus fibrosus, and the intertransverse ligaments. The posterior column includes the pedicles, laminae, transverse processes, spinous process, interspinous ligaments, supraspinous ligaments, ligamentum flavum, and capsular ligaments. In adults, the spine remains stable under physiological loads if all of the anterior and one posterior element or all of the posterior and one anterior element are intact.73,103 A three-column model has also been proposed, but it was developed in a retrospective review of thoracolumbar injuries and does not provide much added benefit for clinical decisions regarding the cervical spine.25 The two-column model fits nicely with the binary option of surgical approaches, these being either anterior or posterior.

Spine stability may also be considered with respect to the type of cervical injury. Anterior wedge compression fractures are usually stable, although greater than 15° of VB angulation should be considered a sign of instability. Tear-drop fractures can be unstable, depending on the severity of injury to the disc, facet joints, and anterior and posterior ligaments.42 Unilateral or bilateral locked facets, burst fractures, and fracture–dislocations are unstable, and ligamentous injury without an associated fracture may be either stable or unstable.

Physical injuries are specific to young children, occurring when the vertebral endplate is separated from the body through the epiphysis. Autopsy findings in 12% of juvenile trauma cases revealed a physical injury, most commonly involving the inferior endplate.3 Saltzer–Harris Type I injuries (in which the epiphysis is intact but separated from the metaphysis) are very unstable, require surgical stabilization, and are seen in infants and young children. Type III injuries (in which the fracture traverses the epiphysis and extends into the epiphysial plate), which may be treated with immobilization, are associated with older adolescents.56,67 These injuries can be difficult to diagnose on plain radiographs; often the only finding is widening of the intervertebral disc space.

Delayed or occult cervical instability is defined as "instability at least 20 days after trauma, in patients who underwent sufficiently thorough x-ray investigations during the acute phase who either did not present traumatic lesions or had only minimal lesions initially judged to be stable."73 Occult cervical instability has been described in both adult and pediatric populations, although patients younger than 25 years may be at higher risk.25,103 Because it is difficult to predict which patients will experience delayed cervical instability, some authors recommend a complete radiographic and clinical reevaluation 3 weeks after injury in all patients in whom these studies are normal initially.45,105 Although these recommendations will not always be practical, patients considered to have a high risk for delayed cervical instability include those with neurological deficits, persistent pain, microfractures, dislocations less than 3 mm, or inversion of physiological lordosis.24

Identifying SCIWORA

Spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality is a clinical entity primarily affecting children. In 1982, Pang and Wilberger2 defined this disorder as marked by objective signs of myelopathy resulting from trauma, with no evidence of ligamentous injury or fractures on plain x-ray films or tomographic studies. The original definition included penetrating trauma, electrical shock, obstetric complications, and congenital spine anomalies. Most studies of traumatic myelopathy in children report an incidence of SCIWORA greater than 20%,19,40,64,80 and in a review of 14 series involving 617 children with traumatic myelopathy, the incidence of SCIWORA was found to be 36%.76 This disorder is more common in children younger than 8 years of age, and the distribution of injuries is the same as in other cervical spine injuries, with younger patients sustaining upper cervical injuries.39,68,70,72,76 Younger patients are more likely to have severe neurological injuries,40,68,70,106 and neurological deficits are often delayed.2,21,103 Factors predisposing young children to SCIWORA include a more tenuous spinal cord blood supply22 and greater elasticity in the vertebral column than in the spinal cord.19 Flexion and extension injuries are the most common mechanism, but lateral bending, distraction, rotation, axial loading, or a combination may also be involved.

The MR imaging modality is an invaluable tool for evaluating patients with SCIWORA. Findings on MR images obtained in children with this disorder can include ligamentous or disc injury, complete spinal cord transection, and spinal cord hemorrhage.23,39 Still, other patients with SCIWORA will have normal findings on MR imaging. Cases that meet the definition of SCIWORA as proposed by Pang and Wilberger22 but have a demonstrated cervical spine injury on MR imaging demonstrate that the original definition of this disorder is antiquated and should also take into account MR imaging findings along with those on plain x-ray films and CT scans.

The injuries associated with SCIWORA are generally considered stable lesions, and immobilization for up to 3 months is the recommended care.70 Before immobilization is discontinued, stability should be confirmed using dynamic lateral radiographs. In cases of SCIWORA in which the results of dynamic studies are normal but ligamentous injury is noted on MR imaging, serial dynamic plain x-ray films should be obtained to rule out the possibility of delayed instability.

Treatment Strategies

Most pediatric cervical spine injuries can be managed nonsurgically with external immobilization.5,11,26,40,99 Even in cases of ligamentous instability, children can often heal with external immobilization and avoid surgery.67,86 Nev-
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ertheless, with the development of improved surgical options for internal fixation and the frequent complications associated with halo immobilization devices, more surgeons are opting for early surgical treatment when indicated.60,61

External Immobilization

The pediatric cervical spine is more difficult to immobilize externally than adult spines because of its inherent elasticity and flexibility, and because in some cases adequate orthotic devices may not be commercially available. External immobilization of the cervical spine has two potential functions. The first and most common goal is to prevent movement and preserve cervical alignment. Second, some cases require traction to restore normal anatomical alignment.

Neonates can provide a unique challenge for immobilization because of their small body habitus. To address this difficulty, Pang and Hanley69 described a thermoplastic, molded orthotic device for the occiput, neck, and thorax. In more recent years, commercial cervical collars have started to be produced specifically for infants and children. A custom-fitted Minerva orthosis can be a reasonable alternative to a halo device in preschool-aged children, providing adequate immobilization while not interfering with activities of daily living.36 In a study of adults, a Minerva body jacket was superior to a halo immobilization device for preventing flexion and extension of each subaxial intervertebral level.60

As alluded to previously, halo devices have fallen out of favor because of the frequent complications related to their use. Children are more likely than adults to experience these complications,9 probably because of the thinner scalps and calvariae in the former group of patients. In a series of 37 patients between 3 and 16 years of age treated with a halo, 68% of the children experienced a complication related to the device.27 The most frequent complication is pin site infection, with others including pin loosening, dural or calvarial penetration, and supraorbital nerve injury.9,27,65 Halo devices can also inhibit activity and physiotherapy. Because of the thinner calvaria, special consideration needs to be given before placing a child in a halo device. First, more pins need to be used, with children younger than 2 years of age requiring eight to 10 pins.65 As children get older, fewer pins are required, and by the age of 4 to 5 years, only four pins are necessary. Second, the amount of torque applied to pins for fixation decreases with the patient’s age. Table 1 summarizes the torque recommendations for pediatric patients.

Traction is indicated to restore cervical alignment when segmental subluxation is present. Factors that make placing a child in traction challenging include a less massive body to supply countertraction and more elastic ligaments and less musculature, which together increase the chance of overdistraction. The physician must be diligent and obtain a lateral radiograph with every change in the amount of weight used with the device, because small additions in weight can have very large effects. One pound per cervical level should be adequate in children younger than 4 years, and 2 lbs per level is sufficient if the child is 4 years of age or older. Weight must be removed if new symptoms develop or if overdistraction is noted. For very young patients, Gardner–Wells tongs should be avoided. Instead, bilateral paired parietal bur holes and steel wire or a halo ring can be used.46

Surgical Management

Approximately 25 to 30% of cervical spine injuries require surgery.60,64 The goals of surgery are to improve stability of the vertebral column and to protect the spinal cord while limiting operative risks, repeated procedures, and morbidity. Indications for surgery include nonreducible deformities, unstable injuries requiring stabilization, progressive deformity, and decompression of neural structures.26,64

The chief decision for the surgeon is usually whether to perform an anterior or a posterior approach. In general, the approach should be dictated by the column that is disrupted, so that additional damage to intact structures providing stability is minimized. This point is emphasized by findings in a series of 16 patients (including some children) with posterior ligamentous disruption who were all treated with anterior fusion and in whom postoperative deformity developed.89 Anterior and posterior fusions both prevent flexion, extension, and translation. A combined anterior and posterior approach is sometimes required in cases of severe disability resulting from injury to both the anterior and posterior columns.

In children, special consideration needs to be given to other issues, including the growth potential of the pediatric spine and an assessment of whether the size of the spine is adequate to accept hardware. Surgical options include posterior onlay autograft with halo placement, posterior bone and wire fusion with halo immobilization, posterior lateral mass plate instrumentation, and anterior cervical disectomy and fusion with plate and screw fixation.

By 10 years of age, the cervical spine has almost reached adult height, and thus surgery is less likely to lead to kyphosis or lordosis.76,85 Most of the growth potential is in the epiphysis of the VBs, with minimal potential in the posterior cervical spine. With an anterior approach, the disc and cartilaginous endplates can be removed, leading to an essentially equal ability of the anterior and posterior columns to increase in height and avoid kyphosis, even in patients younger than 10 years of age.

For children up to 4 years old, a posterior bone and cable fusion followed by external immobilization is preferred because of technical limitations of plate and screw fixation techniques in the very young. By age 5 years, anterior disectomy and fusion can be considered with an expectation of good fusion and alignment.10,68 Specialized pediatric instrumentation such as the Synthes Short Stature Anterior Cervical Spine Locking Plate is well adapted for children because of its smaller profile, decreased radius of curvature,
and reduced screw lengths. The surgeon needs to be cognizant of the small VB remaining once the cartilaginous end-plate has been removed. In patients between 5 and 10 years of age, posterior plate and screw fusion is usually avoided because of the bulk of the instrumentation, but after this age it is a reasonable alternative.

A final consideration for the surgeon is the use of autogenous bone graft or an allograft. The use of allograft should only be considered when the bone is under compression, such as with an anterior discectomy and fusion. Failure of allograft to develop solid bone union in children when used in a posterior construct has been well documented.54,88 Both rib and iliac crest are suitable for autogenous bone graft substrate.57,63

Conclusions

Management of cervical spine trauma in children has several important differences compared with adults. The unique biomechanics of the pediatric cervical spine lead to a distinct distribution of injuries, with younger children more likely to incur upper cervical injuries and SCIWORA. Radiographic studies can also be misleading in children, with normal features such as pseudosubluxation and synchondrosis being easily mistaken for pathological findings.

Treatment of cervical spine injuries can be divided into external immobilization and surgical intervention. External immobilization with a halo device has a high incidence of complications and can be difficult because of a thin calvaria. Surgical options have improved in recent years with the development of instrumentation specifically designed for children, but special consideration must be given to the small size and growth potential of the pediatric spine.
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